# 2008 GENERAL SESSION FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET XI (Revised Jan. 2008)

Agency: UTAH STATE OFFICE OF EDUCATION	_ Bill Num	ber	SB 219 1st Su	ıbstitute
TITLE OF BILL: SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVISION FUND	ING by Senator	Michael	G. Waddoups	
Requested by: Ben Leishman			ic Mail Transmi	ttal To:
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst	Name:		Ben Leishma	n
W310 State Capitol Complex	-			
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310	Date:		February 22, 20	008
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692	E. N	L		
Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: February 25, 2008	Fax Num	ber:		
This Bill Takes Effect: On passage X On July 1	60 Days a	ofter sessi	on	Other
	00 Days 8	1101 30331		Other
Bill Carries Own Appropriation:				
FISCAL IMPACT OF PRO	OPOSED LE	CGISLA	TION	
	FY 2008 Su	pp.	FY 2009	FY 2010
A. REVENUE IMPACT BY SOURCE OF FUNDS	,			
1. General Fund				
2. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund				
3. Transportation Fund				
4. Collections				
5. Other Funds (List Below)				
6 Local Funds				
7. TOTAL	<b>\$</b>	- \$		\$ -
	•			
B. EXPENDITURE IMPACT: By Source of Funds  1. General Fund	1		\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
2. General Fund, One Time			φ3,000,000	\$3,000,000
3. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund				
3. Transportation Fund 4. Collections				
5. Other Funds (List Below)				
3. Other Funds (List Below)				
6. Local Funds				
7. TOTAL	\$	- \$	5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
By Expenditure Category				
1. Salaries, Wages and Benefits				
2. Travel				
3. Current Expenses				
4. D.P. Current Expenses				
5. Capital Outlay School District Division Capital Equaliza	ation Account		\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
6. D.P. Capital Outlay				ĺ
7. Other (Specify)				
8. TOTAL	\$	- \$	5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
	•	•		
C. IMPACT IN FUTURE YEARS?				
	futura vaara	ad avnla:	Also indicate	any cionificant
If no fiscal impact in the first two years, indicate any impact in changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years. (Use back				ину мунцисині
changes in Jiscai impaci veyona the first two years. (Use back	siae, or attachn	nenı, ıj ne	ccessary.)	
Cathy Dudley MSP Budget and Property Ta	av Specialist -	LISOF	801 538 7667	Fobruary 22, 2000

Prepared By

Title

Agency

Phone #

Date

Bill Number: SB 219 1st Substitute Bill Title: SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVISION FUNDING by Senator Michael G. Waddoups

## D. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

Lines 46-64 will generate additional workload.

### E. Expenditure Impact Details (Ties to totals in Section C)

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

*List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.* 

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

The State Board of Education is required to allocate funds deposited into the School District Division Capital Equalization Fund to be used only for capital outlay purposes. If more than one qualifying district is affected by one or more school district divisions, the State Board of Education shall allocate those funds by equally dividing 10% of available funds among the qualifying school districts and the remaining 90% to the qualifying school district or districts most in need after considering (1) student enrollment growth and new building needs, (2) the extent to which the district division has reduced the property tax base available to fund facilities in the qualifying school district, (3) property tax taxable base per stude nt, (4) property tax effort, and (5) capacity and renovation needs in existing facilities. The State Board of Education will have to implement a rule to develop the criteria to follow this distribution method.

The State Board of Education may only allocate funds to a qualifying school district for ten years following the school district division.

#### F. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

#### G. If Bill Carries Its Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill. Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

\$5 million may not be adequate if there is more than one qualifying school district. This amount of funding would not be adequate to build a new school, but could be adequate for repairs and upgrades to the buildings.

#### H. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)

<u>Local Governments:</u>

These funds will be an increase in the amount of capital outlay monies a district receives to enable them to fund capital outlay purposes. However, this appropriation is only available to the school district for ten years once a new school district assumes responsibility for providing educational services.

Ŀ	<u>susinesses</u>	and	Associations:

**Individuals:** 

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.